



Francesco G Longo <flongo11@gmail.com>

Subject: URGENT: MANDATORY DISCLOSURE REQUEST - Case #21-845 Francesco Longo

2 messages

Francesco Longo <flongo11@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 4, 2025 at 4:48 PM

To: "Virtual Crown Windsor (MAG)" <virtualCrownWindsor@ontario.ca>

Crown Attorney's Office,

Further to my court filing today regarding ALL MOTIONS filed including:

- Permanent Stay of Proceedings Application
- Constitutional Right to Trial Anti-Dismissal Application
- Emergency Evidence Preservation Order
- 14 Witness Subpoenas (all parties, police, counsel, evidence custodians)
- Emergency Contempt Motion
- Phone Records Subpoena Applications

Received and stamped by Clerk and Crown's office July 4, 2025

I formally demand immediate disclosure of ALL documentation regarding Case #21-845:

MANDATORY DISCLOSURE DEMANDED:

1. **All communications between Crown Attorney's office and Laura Joy** regarding my case (emails, letters, phone logs, meeting notes, any contact whatsoever)
2. **Complete internal file documentation** (case notes, memos, internal emails, file entries, prosecution decisions, research logs, mandatory documentation required by Crown to justify proceeding)
3. **All evidence review documentation** (damage assessments, evidence evaluations, professional consultations, due diligence verification)
4. **Communication logs with investigating officers** (PC Gratton #19407, PC Fabiano #19674, any police contact regarding this case, sworn testimony discussions, any files or emails to both officers)
5. **All correspondence with complainants** (Steve Zvaniga, Hayley Zvaniga, any homeowner contact including emails, questions provided by them, responses given by Crown -

specifically Hayley's email responses proving zero evidence)

6. **All communications with homeowners regarding prosecution** (discussions about plea deals or case strategy, all correspondence requesting damage documentation, follow-up requests when only estimates provided, Crown's response to receiving construction estimates instead of damage proof, any guidance given to homeowners about what evidence was needed)
7. **Damage evidence verification process** (how many emails/requests sent to homeowners for damage receipts, Crown's response when homeowners provided only estimates, any follow-up requests stating estimates are not valid evidence, OR did Crown accept estimate at face value without verification, all internal communications discussing adequacy of estimate as evidence)
8. **Case strategy communications** (discussions with homeowners about plea deal offers, communications about what homeowners should/shouldn't say, any coaching or preparation of homeowners for testimony, discussions about homeowner's email response admitting zero evidence)
9. **Crown's due diligence documentation** (internal analysis of estimate vs. actual damage requirement, legal research on whether estimates satisfy s.430(3) burden of proof, any recognition that proceeding with estimate only was legally insufficient)
10. **Prosecution decision documentation** (why charges proceeded without damage receipts, legal justification for s.430(3) charges, how \$300 service call became \$5,000+ charges using construction estimates)
11. **Video evidence acquisition documentation** (who was responsible for obtaining video evidence - police or Crown, did Crown accept 3-minute video without due diligence, any requests filed for complete surveillance footage, why only 3 minutes exists, where is the rest of the video evidence)
12. **911 Call timing and evidence preservation** (exact timestamp when 911 call was made, digital timestamp documentation for all evidence, how 911 call timing correlates with 3-minute video slot, why 911 call made 47 minutes after alleged "emergency", any filings for evidence preservation)
13. **All negotiations and plea discussions** (emails, text messages, verbal communications between Crown and Laura Joy regarding plea offers, case discussions, strategy)
14. **Complete evidence chain of custody** (who handled what evidence when, any tampering investigations, original vs edited 911 call documentation)
15. **Confirmation that Duty Counsel will be made available for July 7, 2025 proceedings as constitutionally required for self-represented accused**

As confirmed in writing today, if ZERO documentation exists showing any verification of evidence or work done in my defense, this has been acknowledged and signed.

MANDATORY DEADLINE: July 6, 2025 (24 hours before trial) - 5:00 PM

This disclosure is demanded pursuant to Charter rights, Stinchcombe obligations, and court-filed motions requiring complete transparency.

Francesco Longo

Case #21-845

Francesco Longo <flongo11@gmail.com>
To: Francesco Longo <Flongo11@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 24, 2025 at 12:31 PM

[Quoted text hidden]